

<b>Committee Name:</b>	Records & Tabulation	<b>Session #:</b>	<b>Report #:</b>
<b>Committee Chair:</b>	Chris Stevenson	<b>Vice Chair:</b>	Greg Danner
<b>Minutes recorded by:</b>	Greg Danner	<b>Date/time of meeting:</b>	Sunday, Jan 13 2013 / 8pm EST

## Motions Passed:

1. Minutes from previous meeting (12-16-12) approved unanimously.
2. Motion to recommend inclusion of the complete pool length certification form in the rule book. Motion approved unanimously.
3. Motion to approve pool length certification form as amended. Motion approved unanimously.
4. Motion to accept Recs-Tab policies document with amendment of printing every record-breaking swim on the certificate. Motion unanimously approved.
5. Motion to accept 2013 Guide to Operations document with a recommendation that TTR's review subtractive splits for discrepancies when uploading meet results. Motion approved unanimously.

**Number of committee members present: 10**

**Absent: 2**

**Number of other delegates present: 0**

**Committee members present: Chris Stevenson (Chair), Greg Danner (Vice Chair), Ginger Pierson, Jeanne Seidler, Mary Beth Windrath, Mary Sweat, Walt Reid, Barbara Dunbar, Hans Van Meeteren, Ed Tsuzuki**

## Minutes

The meeting was called to order at 8:00pm

### 1. Accept December 16<sup>th</sup> minutes

A motion is made to accept. No further discussion by the committee. Motion unanimously approved.

### 2. Information/updates

Currently the list of pool length certification measurements is an Excel file that is posted on the Guide to Operations page. Eventually it will become an online database that will be integrated into the E2EEM process. In anticipation of that time, Chris has contacted all the TTRs and asked them to review the list for accuracy, and to begin sending new certifications to Walt (not just when a record is broken). Timetable for integration of pool length certifications into E2EEM? No timetable according to Ed. Walt is the current point person.

There is a pool situation where measurements are in question and a swimmer has a possible AA from it. The only measurements on file for this pool are reportedly short, but individuals are trying to get the owner of pool to provide measurements. Mary Beth indicates that the pool was supposed to be measured this past week, but she is still waiting to hear back. USA-S indicated they did not have measurements. The committee recommends setting a deadline and notifying the swimmer.

A committee member points out that the USMS National Record progression is now active.

### 3. Splits at Nationals

The committee discusses options to resolve inaccurate splits in the first 50 of LCM distance events. There has been at least one documented instance of a swimmer recording a Top 10 qualifying time from a split whereby their counter made contact with the pad, thereby causing an incorrect split. There were numerous swims where swimmers barely missed making the Top 10 list with incorrect splits. Pads can be very sensitive, both on the front of the pad, as well as the top of the pad. The committee considers the possibility of eliminating splits from the first 50 of LCM events with counters (800 and 1500). Chris will discuss with Kathy Casey the implications regarding championship and non-championship meets. Committee members point out that officials and meet managers will need to be educated on the issue. Hans suggests not allowing counters on the first length of these events. No formal vote is taken.

### 4. Discuss/accept documents

**Since the last teleconference meeting, three documents have been revised for clarity and to include changes in rules and policies.**

- a) **Pool Length certification form** revisions: The second page of the form was not included in the rule book, as Chris had requested. Motion to include complete measurement procedures in the rule book. Motion unanimously approved. Motion

to accept form as amended and posted online. Motion unanimously approved.

- b) **2013 Recs-Tab policies document:** The first discussion that takes place is with regard to the USMS National record progression. Is there a need to clarify the policy as to who will get certificates? Chris reviews the existing policy and the committee members think it is clear that only those members who broke the record will receive a certificate. Walt thinks if the same person holds a record and breaks it again in the same year, both swims should be on the certificate. That is not currently the case. Chris will add that to the policy if committee agrees. Motion to accept rules and policies with amendment of making every record breaking swim on certification. Motion unanimously approved. Ed indicates History and Archives Committee received a request to remove printed records. Another brief discussion centers on the title of rule book and whether it is appropriately named. There is a suggestion that “hand book” may be more accurate, but no recommendations are made by the committee.
- c) **2013 Guide to Operations:** Chris asks the committee for any suggested changes.

Regarding splits: looking at subtractive splits can help people identify if a competitor didn't swim far enough or if the official time is incorrect. The official time is up to the meet referee to determine, but Top 10 Recorders can monitor for these problems. Should we expect Top 10 Recorders to review all of these splits? No, but it is recommended that they look at splits. This review should take place prior to the upload; however, some Top 10 Recorders do not use Meet Manager. Chris will post this information online because it serves as a helpful hint. Motion to recommend TTR's review splits for discrepancies. Motion approved unanimously.

## **5. Goals and issues for 2013**

This topic is tabled for the next meeting and for online discussion.

## **6. Miscellaneous**

Jeanne asks about the falsified age case being passed on to the YMCA governing body, so they are aware of it. Ed is waiting for an official grievance to be filed with the NBOR. The committee recommends that USMS passes along the information so the YMCA can decide how they want to proceed.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:08pm.

---